

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/527,486	03/11/2005	Olaf Joeressen	915-006.073	7159	
	7590 08/22/200 OLA VAN DER SLU	EXAM	EXAMINER		
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			LEWIS, A	LEWIS, ALICIA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/527,486	JOERESSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alicia M. Lewis	2164	

	Alicia M. Lewis	2164					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 XI he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filled within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filled, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	cplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,6-8,10-13 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4,5,9,14 and 16.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
_							
/Charles Rones/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the cited references fail to disclose wherein generating the character subset with the inference logic comprises identifying a start of an entry of the new word, and inserting, into the character subset, the most probable letter stored in the database of words for starting a new word. Examiner disagrees. Dosite teaches that when a user selects the space bar or a period while constructing a partial entry, the system programmed to terminate the search for the next letter(s) and prepare for a new search based on a new partial entry. The act of pressing a space bar, period or other non-alphabetic character is used to denote an implicit end of the current search (word entry), and the start of an every search (new ord entry) (paragraph 181). Therefore, it is clear that Dosite teaches identifying the start of an entry of a new word. Furthermore, the act of selecting a character fader a search has terminated il dentifies a start of en entry of a new word.

Dostie further teaches that when a user enters at least one character, the system automatically begins searching for completion candidates and and displays and display and significant of completion candidates on a user interface (paragraphs 79 and 82). He further teaches that the system may also display a predicted set of next probable characters (element 28c in Figure 29, paragraph 252). Applicant argues that only once at least one character is entered. does the system display a predicted set of next probable characters and thus the completion candidates or next most probable characters are not equivalent to the most probable letters for starting a new word. Examiner disagrees. The claims do not explicitly define what is considered the start of a new word (i.e., the first there letters, etc.) Furthermore, claim 1 recites, in part, "the most probable letters. Starting the new word", this implies that more than one letter is considered the start of a word. Although Doste requires a user to enter at least one character, the character subset or completion candidates objectly determined the considered the most probable letters for starting the new word. There is no limitation that prohibits a letter(s) from being entered when identifying the most probable letters for starting a new word.